

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOU DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Dee C. Hansen
Executive Director
Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D.
Division Director

355 West North Temple 3 Triad Center, Suite 350 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203 801-538-5340

January 15, 1993

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT P 879 596 407

Mr. Allen Childs Genwal Coal Company 195 North 1st West P.O. Box 1201 Huntington, Utah 84528

Dear Mr. Childs:

Re: Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. N92-43-1-1, Genwal Coal Company, Crandall Canyon Mine, ACT/015/032, Folder #5, Emery County, Utah

The undersigned has been appointed by the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above-referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, James D. Smith on December 18, 1992. Rule R645-401-600 et. sec. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the Notice of Violation, has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of this violation</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within 30 days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.

Page 2 N92-43-1-1 ACT/015/032 January 15, 1993

2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within 30 days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vicki Bailey.

Sincerely,

Joseph C. Helfrich' Assessment Officer

jbe

Enclosure

cc: Bernie Freeman, OSM

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

COMPANY/MINE Genwal Coal Company/Crandall Canyon Mine NOV #N92-43-1-1							
PERMIT #_ACT/015/032							
ASSESSMENT DATE 01/15/93				DLATION _1_ OF _1_			
			ASSESSMENT OFFICER	S Joseph C. Heltrich			
1.	HIST	ORY MAX 25 PTS					
	Α.	Are there previous v fall within 1 year of	iolations which are not pend today's date?	ling or vacated, which			
ASSESSMENT DATE <u>01/15/93</u> EFFECTIVE ONE YEAR TO DATE <u>01/15/92</u>							
	PRE\	/IOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS			
		N92-37-2-1	06/13/92	_1_			
	1 point for each past violation, up to one year;5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one year;No pending notices shall be counted.						
			TOTAL HIS	TORY POINTS1			
ii.	SERIC	OUSNESS (either A o	r B)				
NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following applies. Based on the facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within which category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.							
	Is this	s an Event (A) or	Hindrance (B) violation?	Hindrance			
	 A. Event Violations Max 45 PTS 1. What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent? 						
	2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?						

	PROBABILITY	RANGE		
	None	0		
	Unlikely	1-9 10-19		
	Likely Occurred	20		
	Occurred	20		
	ASSIGN PR	OBABILITY OF OCCURR	ENCE POINTS	
PROVIDE	AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS	3		
3.	What is the extent of actua	l or potential damage?	RANGE 0 - 25*	
	*In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.			
		ASSIGN DAMAG	GE POINTS	
PROVIDE	AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS			
		, i		
B. <u>Hin</u>	drance Violations MAX 25 I	PTS		
1.	Is this a potential or actual	hindrance to enforceme	nt? <u>Actual</u> RANGE 0 - 25	
	Assign points based on the potentially hindered by the		ement is actually or	
		ASSIGN HINDRAN	ICE POINTS12_	
PROVIDE	AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS	3		
in Cranda	e of the permittee to analyze wa Il Creek actually hindered the regulations.			

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) ___12_

III. <u>NEGLIGENCE MAX 30 PTS</u>

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO - NO NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference, lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO - NEGLIGENCE:

OR Was this violation the result of reckless, knowing, or intentional conduct? IF SO - GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

... No Negligence

0

... Negligence

1-15

. . . Greater Degree of Fault

16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Ordinary

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 12

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

Lack of diligence with respect to water monitoring requirements.

- IV. GOOD FAITH MAX 20 PTS. (EITHER A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures.)
 - A. Did the operator have onsite the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?
 - . . . IF SO EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

- . . . Immediate Compliance
- -11 to -20*
- ... Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)
- . . . Rapid Compliance

-1 to -10*

- . . . (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- . . . Normal Compliance

0

(Operator complied within the abatement period required) (Operator complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

* Assign in upper or lower half of range depending on abatement occurring in 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

	B.	OR does the situation require the subactivity to achieve compliance? IF SO - DIFFICULT ABATEME	omission of plans prior to physical
		limits of the NOV or the violate for abatement was incomplete)	ate the violation) -10* abatement period required) a for abatement to stay within the d standard, or the plan submitted
EASY	OR D	IFFICULT ABATEMENT? ASSI	GN GOOD FAITH POINTSO
PROV	/IDE A	N EXPLANATION OF POINTS	
No at	oateme	nt required.	
V .	ASSE	SSMENT SUMMARY FOR N92-43	<u>-1-1</u>
	I. II. III. IV.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	1 12 12 -0
		TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	25
		TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 300.00°

WORKSHEET FOR FINAL ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

СОМ	PANY/MINE Genwal Coal Co/Crand	lall Canyon Mine N	OV # <u>N92-43-1-1</u>
PERM	IIT # <u>ACT/015/032</u>	VIOLA	TION <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
Asses	ssment Date <u>5/11/93</u>	Assessment Officer Re	onald W. Daniels
	re of Violation: Failure to analyze was and conditions of the permit).	iter samples (i.e., failure 1	o comply with the
Date	of Termination: <u>12/18/92</u>		
		Proposed <u>Assessmen</u>	Final t <u>Assessment</u>
(1)	History/Previous Violations	1_	1_
(2)	Seriousness (a) Probability of Occurrence		· · · · · ·
	Extent of Damage		
	(b) Hindrance to Enforcement	_12_	_12_
(3)	Negligence	_12_	12
(4)	Good Faith	0	0_
	Total Points	25	
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE		\$ 300.00

NARRATIVE:

(Brief explanation for any changes made in assignment of points and any additional information that was available after the proposed assessment.)